


## Q1 Overall, how did this CLE meet your expectations? Was it...

Answered: 46 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses	
A lot better than expected	8.70%	4
Better than expected	26.09%	12
About what I expected	63.04%	29
Worse than expected	2.17%	1
A lot worse than expected	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>46</b>

#	Below, please enter any observations regarding specific sessions at the CLE:	Date
1	There was no reference to any new trends	3/9/2017 11:06 AM
2	This is the fifth bankruptcy year in review I've attended, and as I have come to expect, it was excellent in content and form.	3/6/2017 2:21 PM
3	This is always a good CLE. Thank you very much.	3/6/2017 8:19 AM
4	The national case review was not relevant to NM practice where there are never multibillion dollar chapter 11's. And if there were, the LA, TX, and NY firms would poach them, anyway.	3/5/2017 7:05 PM
5	Ethics was great	3/3/2017 4:53 PM

## Q2 Was this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 46 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	89.13%	41
No	10.87%	5
Total		46


### Q3 Why wasn't this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 42

#	Responses	Date
1	Presently I do not practice Bankruptcy law, but I am planning to start practicing in this area of law. Hence, it was very helpful.	3/4/2017 7:57 AM
2	I don't practice BR	3/3/2017 4:58 PM
3	Don't do bk	3/3/2017 4:46 PM
4	I am basically retired. Serving only my only companies. I choose my CLE these days based upon webcast availability, date, and cost per credit hour.	3/3/2017 4:46 PM

## Q4 How useful was the content presented?

Answered: 46 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Extremely useful	26.09% 12
Very useful	65.22% 30
Somewhat useful	6.52% 3
Not so useful	0.00% 0
Not at all useful	2.17% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>46</b>

#	In what way?	Date
1	I might need to refer back, maybe at some point if it becomes specifically relevant in my practice.	3/9/2017 11:07 AM
2	In NM, particularly southern NM, I cannot directly be exposed to new directions bankruptcy law caselaw and forms are taking. This course provides that exposure.	3/6/2017 7:34 PM
3	The national case information will be extremely helpful in several cases I am involved with, and the state cases were also informative.	3/6/2017 2:22 PM
4	Consumer practice elements were useful.	3/5/2017 7:06 PM
5	Keeps me up to date on the law in this district	3/3/2017 4:54 PM
6	Useful only in that it help satisfy the annual CLE requirement.	3/3/2017 4:47 PM

Q5 Did this CLE meet your learning goals?

Answered: 46 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	95.65%	44
No	4.35%	2
Total		46


**Q6 In what way did this CLE fail to meet your learning goals?**

Answered: 2 Skipped: 44

#	Responses	Date
1	The last year had many more important issues and case developments than were discussed. The court move and trust accounting info could have been passed out in handouts or posted on the court's site. Even so, I think Norm should have had more recognition as a national leader, and a better sendoff.	3/5/2017 7:11 PM
2	I have no learning goals.	3/3/2017 4:47 PM

## Q7 Please rate the effectiveness of each faculty member:

Answered: 45 Skipped: 1



	Extremely effective	Very effective	Somewhat effective	Not so effective	Not at all effective	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Gerald R. Velarde	31.82% 14	43.18% 19	20.45% 9	2.27% 1	0.00% 0	2.27% 1	44	4.07
Kelley Skehen	22.22% 10	44.44% 20	28.89% 13	2.22% 1	0.00% 0	2.22% 1	45	3.89
Hon. Robert H. Jacobvitz	40.00% 18	44.44% 20	15.56% 7	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	45	4.24

### 32nd Annual Bankruptcy Year in Review - Evaluation


Hon. David T. Thuma	<b>42.22%</b> 19	<b>48.89%</b> 22	<b>8.89%</b> 4	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	45	4.33
Norman H. Meyer	<b>38.64%</b> 17	<b>45.45%</b> 20	<b>13.64%</b> 6	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>2.27%</b> 1	<b>0.00%</b> 0	44	4.18
Jeffrey H. Davidson	<b>29.55%</b> 13	<b>45.45%</b> 20	<b>18.18%</b> 8	<b>4.55%</b> 2	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>2.27%</b> 1	44	4.02
James A. Askew	<b>40.91%</b> 18	<b>50.00%</b> 22	<b>6.82%</b> 3	<b>2.27%</b> 1	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	44	4.30
Michael K. Daniels	<b>40.00%</b> 18	<b>48.89%</b> 22	<b>8.89%</b> 4	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>2.22%</b> 1	<b>0.00%</b> 0	45	4.24
Paul M. Fish	<b>42.22%</b> 19	<b>42.22%</b> 19	<b>13.33%</b> 6	<b>2.22%</b> 1	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	45	4.24
Alice Nystel Page	<b>36.36%</b> 16	<b>31.82%</b> 14	<b>29.55%</b> 13	<b>2.27%</b> 1	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	44	4.02
Leonard Martinez-Metzgar	<b>29.55%</b> 13	<b>47.73%</b> 21	<b>22.73%</b> 10	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	44	4.07
William Slease	<b>57.78%</b> 26	<b>33.33%</b> 15	<b>6.67%</b> 3	<b>2.22%</b> 1	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	45	4.47
Thomas D. Walker	<b>47.73%</b> 21	<b>45.45%</b> 20	<b>4.55%</b> 2	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>2.27%</b> 1	44	4.44

#	Comments:	Date
1	I have been attending these seminars for nearly 30 years. They are always top-notch.	3/6/2017 7:40 PM
2	I wish you would bring back the tax panel.	3/6/2017 2:22 PM
3	will the unexpurgated version of J. Thuma be available on the internet?	3/4/2017 6:48 PM
4	The ethics presentation at the end was great!	3/3/2017 5:10 PM



## Q8 How would you like to receive information about future CLE programs?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)	28.57% 12
CLE Website	16.67% 7
Bar Bulletin CLE ad	19.05% 8
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)	16.67% 7
Bar Bulletin Calendar	28.57% 12
E-mail from State Bar	69.05% 29
Facebook	0.00% 0
Twitter	0.00% 0
Total Respondents: 42	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	regular mail	3/6/2017 10:42 AM

**Q9 In what ways can the Center for Legal Education improve your learning experience?**

Answered: 8 Skipped: 38

#	Responses	Date
1	Better lunch	3/6/2017 4:40 PM
2	Having room be cooler	3/6/2017 3:08 PM
3	90% of NM cases are consumer cases. Material at YIR should reflect that reality.	3/5/2017 7:25 PM
4	They should have a tax panel with counsel for TRD and the IRS.	3/4/2017 5:31 PM
5	I commend you for putting on a well-transmitted webcast. Excellent audio and visual presentation. CLE materials were too much for what was actually presented/covered. A waste of ink and paper. If presenters aren't going to go through a case page by page, it should just be cited for future reference. Case summaries by national and local counsel were well done and useful.	3/3/2017 10:14 PM
6	Reduce the price. The price was significantly higher than prior years. I can get CLE from other states cheaper.	3/3/2017 6:26 PM
7	I think it gets better each year...The website is user friendly, except I always forget my password!!	3/3/2017 5:10 PM
8	Allow webcast participant to interact more with live presenters.	3/3/2017 4:50 PM


### Q10 What other topics and presenters would you like to see at future CLE programs?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 36

#	Responses	Date
1	Areas we anticipate new changes: Immigration Health Insurance	3/9/2017 11:11 AM
2	FDCPA and other collection regulatory schemes	3/6/2017 7:40 PM
3	N/a	3/6/2017 3:08 PM
4	Tax panel.	3/6/2017 2:22 PM
5	If we can bring an LA attorney to talk about Ch 11 cases, we can bring Judge Keith Lundin to talk about Ch 13 cases. Compare the number of cases filed in NM. Judge Lundin just retired and is writing books and speaking at seminars about ch13.	3/5/2017 7:25 PM
6	They should have a tax panel with counsel for TRD and the IRS.	3/4/2017 5:31 PM
7	Immigration law	3/4/2017 8:00 AM
8	Bill Slease (IOLTA accounting)	3/4/2017 4:54 AM
9	I hope there will be a program if a new tax bill passes Congress later this year.	3/3/2017 5:10 PM
10	More immigration issues	3/3/2017 4:50 PM

## Q11 I prefer the following types of learning methods (please see descriptions below):


Answered: 41 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses	
Lecture: university-style talk to a large audience, using visual aids	80.49%	33
Large Group Discussion: open forum which allows audience to pose questions to presenter(s)	39.02%	16
Small Group Discussion: breakout sessions where attendees form small groups moderated by faculty	7.32%	3
Case Study: a lecture which centers around a specific case or cases relevant to the topic	19.51%	8
Role Play: interactive, audience participation-based scenarios such as a mock trial	2.44%	1
Total Respondents: 41		

**Q12 The Center for Legal Education is an accredited course provider through the New Mexico State Bar Foundation. How likely are you to attend a future Center for Legal Education course?**

Answered: 43 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Extremely likely	72.09%	31
Very likely	23.26%	10
Somewhat likely	2.33%	1
Not so likely	2.33%	1
Not at all likely	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>43</b>